

## REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the reasons that follow. Claims 1-4 are pending in this application.

The Examiner has acknowledged that the finality of the rejection of the last Office Action has been withdrawn.

### Double Patenting

On page 2 and 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected Claims 1-4 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of US Patent No. 6766664.

In response, Applicants submit a Terminal Disclaimer to obviate a double patenting rejecting over a "prior" patent since the '664 patent and the present application are commonly owned. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw his rejection of Claims 1-4 on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.

\* \* \*

It is submitted that each outstanding rejection to the application has been overcome, and that the application is in condition for allowance. The Applicant requests consideration and allowance of all pending claims.

July 10, 2008

Reply to Office Action of March 12, 2008

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted:

BY /James A. Wilke/  
James A. Wilke  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 34,279

Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren s.c.  
1000 North Water Street, Suite 2100  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
414-298-8383  
Customer No. 22922